

# DOGMERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

## Minutes of the Council Meeting held at Dogmersfield Primary School 11 October 2021 at 7.30 p.m.


Councillors	In Attendance	Apologies	Absent
Cllr Graham Chisnall (Chair)	✓		
Cllr Anne Fillis (Vice-chair)	✓		
Cllr Graham Leach	✓		
Cllr Sarah Miles		✓	
Cllr Rob Molloy	✓		

Clerk: Martin Whittaker

**In attendance:**


Cllr Ken Crookes, Hart District Council  
Cllr Tim Davies, Hampshire County Council  
5 members of the public

		Action
21/71	<p><b>Welcome and apologies for absence</b></p> <p>Cllr Miles sent her apologies, due to family issues. The motion being proposed by Cllr Chisnall and seconded by Cllr Leach, <b>IT WAS RESOLVED</b> unanimously to accept the reasons for absence.</p> <p>Apologies were received from HDC Cllr Kennet as he had to attend another meeting.</p>	
21/72	<p><b>To receive and note any Disclosable Pecuniary Interests and requests for dispensation for items to be discussed</b></p> <p>None.</p>	
21/73	<p><b>To approve the minutes of the council meetings of 13 September</b></p> <p>The motion being proposed by Cllr Chisnall and seconded by Cllr Molloy, <b>IT WAS RESOLVED</b> unanimously to approve the minutes.</p>	
21/74	<p><b>Matters arising from the minutes</b></p> <p>All actions complete except:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 21/41.2 Clerk to be made administrator of the Hampshire Trust Bank account</li><li>• 21/57 Discuss the possibility of designating new bridleways with Cllr Fillis, as part of the work of the CBF – Cllr Fillis to ask HCC</li><li>• 21/63 Arrange services of electrician for Xmas tree</li></ul>	<p><b>Fillis</b></p> <p><b>Fillis</b></p> <p><b>Clerk</b></p>




# DOGMERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

21/75	<p><b>Announcements from the Chairman and Clerk and members' questions received in advance of the meeting</b></p> <p>The Chairman reported:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The website had been upgraded, but some work was required to increase its usability</li> <li>• Cllr Molloy had upgraded to MS 365; he and the Clerk would produce a plan to upgrade other members</li> <li>• The Lloyds account had been closed.</li> </ul> <p>No questions were received.</p>	Clerk Molloy/ Clerk
21/76	<p><b>County and district councillor's reports</b></p> <p>Cllr Davies gave a verbal update.</p> <p>Cllr Crookes had provided a written report. Key issues were:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. At its October meeting, Cabinet considered an updated agreement with Everyone Active for their management of Hart's leisure centres.</li> <li>2. Hart announced the temporary suspension of the garden waste collection service due to a shortage of HGV drivers. Basingstoke and Deane with whom Hart operate a shared service made a similar announcement.</li> <li>3. At its October meeting, the Overview and Scrutiny meeting will consider a number of savings options for the medium-term financial strategy. This may include options for a review of the Local Plan.</li> </ol>	
21/77	<p><b>Representations by the public</b></p> <p>A member of the public asked:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How will the review of the conservation area be done? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Chairman - we do not know yet: probably internally with external support. Cllr Crookes pointed out that Odiham and Crondall are currently reviewing theirs internally.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• When will the new logo be available? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Cllr Molloy – when the newsletter is issued later in the month.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Have all the sites been identified for tree planting? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Cllr Fillis – yes.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Another member of the public asked what was being done about verges, ditches, hedgerows and traffic in Chatter Alley. The Chairman replied that the council was aware of the issues and would present its plans at the Parish Meeting in November.</p>	
21/78	<p><b>To Consider the council's response to current planning applications</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <a href="#">21/02350/HOU</a>   Erection of a two storey side extension with basement.   Pond House Church Lane Dogmersfield Hook RG27 8TA. Closes 12 October</li> </ol> <p>The motion being proposed by Cllr Leach and seconded by Cllr Chisnall, <b>IT WAS RESOLVED</b> unanimously to object to the application on the grounds of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Contravention of Neighbourhood Plan (NP) policy DNP8: Trees and Hedgerows</li> <li>• Contravention of Neighbourhood Plan (NP) policy DNP11: Dark Skies</li> </ul>	




# DOGMERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of clarity whether the development will be partially on agricultural land</li> <li>• Lack of clarity whether a previous order by Hart DC to establish a proper sewage system on site has been complied with</li> <li>• And, should Hart DC be minded to approve the application, a condition would be required to ensure that all vehicles involved in the construction should be parked on site.</li> </ul> <p>2. Applications not on the agenda</p> <p>Cllr Leach reported that the following application had been received.</p> <p><a href="#">21/02481/HOU</a> Demolition of existing garage and erection of a replacement garage with first floor ancillary storage and associated changes of levels and hard landscaping (part retrospective) Ormersfield House Church Lane Dogmersfield Hook RG27 8TA. Closing date 27 October</p> <p>The Clerk had applied for an extension to allow proper consideration at the November meeting. If this was not granted, an extraordinary meeting would be considered.</p>	
21/79	<p><b>To update members on any new planning consultations, appeals and enforcements</b></p> <p>Cllr Leach updated members as per the supporting paper.</p>	
21/80	<p><b>To consider writing to the Four Seasons Hotel concerning planned building work</b></p> <p>This item, mentioned at the last meeting, was not a planning application but an application for a Lawful Development Certificate – which has now been granted. HDC does not consult parish councils on these. However, the size of the planned works would probably generate significant traffic, especially with the roadworks on the A287 roundabout.</p> <p>The Chairman stated that he would be writing to the hotel in his capacity as a councillor to see whether the effects of this on the village could be mitigated.</p>	
21/81	<p><b>Shapley Heath update</b></p> <p>There was currently no activity on this while the business case for revision of the local plan was being considered. It is not clear when the results of HDC’s consultation will become available.</p>	
21/82	<p><b>Update on tree planting – Fillis</b></p> <p>The motion being proposed by Cllr Fillis and seconded by Cllr Molloy, <b>IT WAS RESOLVED</b> unanimously that orders for trees and associated supplies should be placed as per the schedule submitted by Cllr Fillis.</p>	
21/83	<p><b>Finance &amp; Regulatory Matters</b></p>	
1	<p><b>To note the bank reconciliations</b></p> <p>The bank reconciliations were inspected by Cllr Leach and noted by the council. The reconciled balances as of 31 August were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lloyds £0.00</li> <li>• Unity Trust £24,118.58</li> </ul>	



# DOGMERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

2	<p><b>To receive a finance report</b></p> <p>The report was noted by members. The Clerk was asked to make recommendations for an interest-bearing account for the council's reserves.</p> <p>It was also noted that the general reserve was rather high: for the next financial year this will be allocated where appropriate to earmarked reserves for particular projects.</p>	Clerk																																																								
3	<p><b>To authorise payments</b></p> <p>The motion being proposed by Cllr Fillis and seconded by Cllr Molloy, <b>IT WAS RESOLVED</b> unanimously to approve the following payments:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Date</th> <th>Payment</th> <th>Payee</th> <th>£</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>27/09/21</td> <td>Base salary - Sept</td> <td>Martin Whittaker</td> <td>350.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>15/09/21</td> <td>Charge card fee</td> <td>Unity Trust</td> <td>50.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>30/09/21</td> <td>Bank charge (overcharged)</td> <td>Unity Trust</td> <td>18.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12/10/21</td> <td>Trees</td> <td>Hedges Direct</td> <td>764.99</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11/10/21</td> <td>Sept salary - balance</td> <td>Martin Whittaker</td> <td>41.56</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12/10/21</td> <td>Trees</td> <td>Ashbridge Nurseries</td> <td>86.40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12/10/21</td> <td>Phone bill</td> <td>Buzz Networks</td> <td>5.95</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12/10/21</td> <td>Mileage</td> <td>Martin Whittaker</td> <td>11.70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12/10/21</td> <td>PAYE</td> <td>HMRC</td> <td>403.40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12/10/21</td> <td>Book tokens logo prize</td> <td>WH Smith</td> <td>40.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12/10/21</td> <td>Logo artwork</td> <td>Cwench Ltd</td> <td>90.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12/10/21</td> <td>Leaflet printing</td> <td>Mixam</td> <td>43.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12/10/21</td> <td>Defib maintenance</td> <td>Community Heartbeat Trust</td> <td>162.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Cllrs Fills and Molloy were asked to authorise the payments.</p>	Date	Payment	Payee	£	27/09/21	Base salary - Sept	Martin Whittaker	350.00	15/09/21	Charge card fee	Unity Trust	50.00	30/09/21	Bank charge (overcharged)	Unity Trust	18.00	12/10/21	Trees	Hedges Direct	764.99	11/10/21	Sept salary - balance	Martin Whittaker	41.56	12/10/21	Trees	Ashbridge Nurseries	86.40	12/10/21	Phone bill	Buzz Networks	5.95	12/10/21	Mileage	Martin Whittaker	11.70	12/10/21	PAYE	HMRC	403.40	12/10/21	Book tokens logo prize	WH Smith	40.00	12/10/21	Logo artwork	Cwench Ltd	90.00	12/10/21	Leaflet printing	Mixam	43.00	12/10/21	Defib maintenance	Community Heartbeat Trust	162.00	
Date	Payment	Payee	£																																																							
27/09/21	Base salary - Sept	Martin Whittaker	350.00																																																							
15/09/21	Charge card fee	Unity Trust	50.00																																																							
30/09/21	Bank charge (overcharged)	Unity Trust	18.00																																																							
12/10/21	Trees	Hedges Direct	764.99																																																							
11/10/21	Sept salary - balance	Martin Whittaker	41.56																																																							
12/10/21	Trees	Ashbridge Nurseries	86.40																																																							
12/10/21	Phone bill	Buzz Networks	5.95																																																							
12/10/21	Mileage	Martin Whittaker	11.70																																																							
12/10/21	PAYE	HMRC	403.40																																																							
12/10/21	Book tokens logo prize	WH Smith	40.00																																																							
12/10/21	Logo artwork	Cwench Ltd	90.00																																																							
12/10/21	Leaflet printing	Mixam	43.00																																																							
12/10/21	Defib maintenance	Community Heartbeat Trust	162.00																																																							
21/84	<p><b>To receive a report of the meeting with Highways on SID locations</b></p> <p>Members were generally content with the placements of the Speed Indication Devices (SIDs). However, they asked for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Confirmation that the selected units will allow traffic numbers and speeds to be downloaded</li> <li>• How the units are to be moved and by whom to be defined.</li> </ul> <p>The Chairman would discuss with Cllr Miles how the report this could be turned into a fully costed proposal to the council, and for presentation to the Parish Meeting (see 21/87 below).</p>	Chisnall /Miles																																																								
21/85	<p><b>To consider speed and safety proposals to be delivered through the Community Funded Highways Infrastructure (CFHI) scheme</b></p> <p>Members agreed this was an excellent piece of work and offered their thanks to Cllr Miles.</p> <p>The Chairman would discuss with Cllr Miles how this could be turned into a fully costed proposal to the council, and for presentation to the Parish Meeting.</p>	Chisnall /Miles																																																								




# DOGMERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

21/86	<p><b>To consider the cutting of verges and common land along Chatter Alley – Fillis</b></p> <p>Two enquiries had been received from members of the public about overgrown verges and hedges, and blocked ditches. Members agreed that work was required, and suggested that this was done in two phases:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Urgent work, to be discussed by Cllrs Chisnall and Miles, and the Clerk, with a proposal being brought to the next meeting</li> <li>• A longer-term plan to be brought by Cllr Miles to the Parish Meeting.</li> </ul>	Chisnall /Miles /Clerk /Miles
21/87	<p><b>To set a date for the Parish Meeting</b></p> <p>It was agreed that, as it had not been possible to hold the Annual Parish Assembly earlier in the year, this would now take place on Monday 15 November in the school at 1900, with the formal business starting at 1915.</p>	
21/88	<p><b>To consider purchasing a bound copy of the Hart Local Plan – Leach</b></p> <p>The motion being proposed by Cllr GC and seconded by Cllr Molloy, <b>IT WAS RESOLVED</b> unanimously to spend £50 on this.</p>	
21/89	<p><b>Correspondence received</b></p> <p>The Clerk reported that an email had been received from a member of the public asking to the road resurfacing signs to be removed. He had passed this on to Highways.</p>	
21/90	<p><b>Information sharing</b></p> <p>Cllr Leach reported:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The village volunteer day in September had been a great success and another one would be held before Christmas.</li> <li>• He had attended a very useful CPRE seminar on influencing the local plan</li> <li>• The appeal by Martin Grant Homes to delay completion of the work on the A287 roundabout until the 50<sup>th</sup> dwelling was occupied had been refused.</li> </ul>	
21/91	<p><b>Date of next meeting</b></p> <p>8 November 2021 7.30 pm</p>	

Signed:

Date:

Abbreviations	In place of
DPC	Dogmersfield Parish Council
HDC	Hart District Council
HCC	Hampshire County Council
CBF	Community Benefit Fund
HALC	Hampshire Association of Local Councils
NALC	National Association of Local Councils
NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
APA	Annual Parish Assembly



# DOGMERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

## Planning Application Summary Comments and Recommendation

<b>Planning Application No</b> <i>(please insert as hyperlink)</i>	21/02481/HOU Ormsfield House
<b>Description</b>	Demolition of existing garage and erection of a replacement garage with first floor ancillary storage and associated changes of levels and hard landscaping (part retrospective)
<b>Date valid</b>	5 <sup>th</sup> October 2021
<b>Date circulated by DPC Cllr for Planning</b>	13 <sup>th</sup> October 2021
<b>Comments due</b>	20 <sup>th</sup> October 2021
<b>Closing date</b>	27 <sup>th</sup> October 2021
<b>Name of Councillor</b>	Graham Leach

### 1 Summary

An application was Granted in February 2021 for an extension and alteration to the existing garage at Ormsfield House to include a new ancillary room above and a new driveway / parking area. No objections were received from Neighbours, Countryside Planning, The Ecology Officer or the Assistant Conservation Officer. DPC did not Object to the Application, but asked:

1. For a site plan to be developed to ensure no parked vehicles intrude into the Lane at this location during the work.
2. Full regard was paid to the DNP requirement for building schemes to protect the Dark Parish provisions in the DNP.

A number of conditions were imposed by Hart regarding, inter alia, building materials, lighting, landscaping, the Construction Method Statement (CMS) and the requirement to retain the garage as a part of Ormsfield House and not as a separate dwelling.

A subsequent application was made in April 2021 to discharge the conditions on materials, landscaping and the CMS. These conditions were discharged in July 2021.

Subsequent to these applications it was found that the existing garage had structural issues and the walls were not sitting on any footings. A building surveyor recommended that the garage was demolished by an existing on site contractor and then subsequently rebuilt. At this point, Hart asked for another planning application for

the re-build. The Application now being considered is for the re-build of the garage with exactly the same design as originally in the Application which was Granted in February 2021. This new Application includes all relevant information which had previously been provided to discharge the original conditions, including a commitment to keep the Lane free for other users during construction. As the garage has already been demolished the current Application is 'Part Retrospective'.

## 2 Comments

An initial review of the current Application in the short time before the DPC meeting on 11<sup>th</sup> October indicated that the Application was generally sound, but that conditions around the footprint, usage, CMS and lighting needed to be highlighted in any response. This was discussed in outline at the DPC meeting, with agreement to defer a decision on the DPC response until a more detailed review of the Application had been carried out as per the normal process.

An issue of timing was highlighted: In that the closing date for comments to Hart was before the next formal DPC meeting, which would need to agree the DPC response. If an extension to the closing date could not be obtained, then an extra-ordinary meeting of DPC would be required.

This detailed review of the Application and the associated previous Applications has (as covered in the Summary above) revealed that the conditions associated with the original Application have been discharged.

DPC did not object to the initial Application or comment on the Application to discharge the original conditions, and the detail of the rebuild is exactly the same as in the original Application (20/03030/HOU).


Given this history, if an extension to the closing date for comments is not granted, it could be that the DPC response (recommended below) is considered uncontentious and is dealt with under the 'Uncontentious Planning Application' procedure and then noted at the next DPC meeting.

## 3 Recommendation

It is recommended that DPC '**Sees no reason to Object to this Application**'. Provided that:

1. The building adheres to the Dark Skies Policy (DNP 11) contained in the Dogmersfield Neighbourhood Plan.
2. Ormsfield Lane is not obstructed during the construction of the new building and remains, at all times, passable for other residents.
3. That the garage is retained as part of Ormsfield House and is not subsequently developed into a separate dwelling.

Graham Leach  
Councillor for Planning



# DOGMERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

## Planning Application Comments and Recommendation

<b>Planning Application No</b> <i>(please insert as hyperlink)</i>	21/02562/FUL Emily's Farm, Rye Common
<b>Description</b>	Continued siting of temporary agricultural worker's dwelling.
<b>Date valid</b>	4 <sup>th</sup> October 2021
<b>Date circulated by DPC Cllr for Planning</b>	25 <sup>th</sup> November 2021
<b>Comments due</b>	1 <sup>st</sup> November 2021
<b>Closing date</b>	3 <sup>rd</sup> November 2021
<b>Name of Councillor</b>	Graham Leach

### 1 Summary

This application is for the continued siting of a temporary agricultural worker's dwelling on a 20 acre (8.3 Hectare) site on Rye Common, North of the A287. The farm produces meat and poultry for specialist markets. The single storey dwelling has been there since 2012 and is quite substantial – 2 bedrooms, 2 bathrooms, a kitchen, dining room, sitting room and a boiler room. The site also has other agricultural buildings, including a slaughter and butchery unit which was constructed in 2018.

### 2 Comments

The initial planning application for a temporary building was Granted in 2012 (Application 12/00808/FUL). Approval was given for a building on the site for 3 years, provided that the design and appearance was acceptable. DPC Objected to this application on the basis that the small size of the farm did not warrant a dedicated on-site dwelling for a stockman/farmer, and this could set a precedence for other 'temporary agricultural dwellings'.

In November 2017 a further application (17/02664/FUL) was submitted for the continued siting of the temporary dwelling. This application was not determined but 'an indication' was given that the temporary dwelling could remain for a further 3 years subject to the approval of a business plan for the farm enterprise. DPC offered no comment on this application.

In June 2018 a further application (18/00157/FUL) was submitted for the construction of a slaughter and butchery unit on the site. DPC Objected to this application on the grounds that there was no linkage to a business plan and that the Applicant was flaunting planning rules as construction was already underway. Nonetheless the Application was Granted.

In July 2021 the Planning Enforcement Officer issued a 'Breach of Planning Control notice' against the dwelling. Following various correspondence, the Owner was directed to submit a new Planning Application for the temporary dwelling.

The construction of a new dwelling in this location would be against Hart Planning Policy SS1 and against DNP Policy DNP1, as it is outside the defined settlement boundary and in the countryside. It also has poor accessibility for day-to-day services and is within 5km of the Thames Basin Heaths Special Protection Area (TBHSPA).

However, under Hart Policy NBE1: *'Development Proposals within the Countryside will only be supported where they are .... meeting the proven essential need of a rural worker to live at or near their place of work...'* So the dwelling could be justified on that basis.

### **3 Recommendation**

I recommend that:

Dogmersfield Parish Council DOES NOT OBJECT to the continued temporary nature of the dwelling for 3 years on the basis of Hart Policy NEB1, provided that Hart Planning is satisfied that there is a bona fide business plan for the enterprise at Emily's Farm for the duration of this period, and that this business plan warrants the essential need for a rural worker managing the farm to live at or near their place of work.

DPC notes that the permanent construction of a dwelling in this location would be against Hart Planning Policy SS1 and against DNP Policy DNP1 as it is outside the defined settlement boundary and is in the countryside. It also has poor accessibility for day-to-day services and is within 5km of the TBHSPA. DPC believes that a condition must be placed on the building that it remains temporary and only remains in place so long as Emily's Farm remains a viable enterprise requiring an on-site agricultural worker in line with Hart Policy NEB1.

**Planning – Current Status of Ongoing Applications Affecting Dogmersfield**

**As At: 1<sup>st</sup> November 21**

<b>Planning Reference</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Outline</b>	<b>DPC Position</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Notes</b>
<i><b>Within Dogmersfield</b></i>					
21/00626/FUL	Plough Farm Chalky Lane	Demolition of existing conservatories and erection of 2 storey rear extension to the 2 cottages.	No Objection (provided the extension does not contravene DNP Policy DNP11).	Awaiting Decision	DNP11 is the 'Dark Sky's Policy.  Ecology officer has objected – area is suitable for bats. No information on bats has been provided.
21/01435/HOU	Pond House Church Lane	Erection of 2 storey side extension with basement.	Objected	Withdrawn	
21/02350/HOU	Pond House Church Lane	Erection of 2 storey side extension with basement.	Objected	Awaiting Decision	Revision of Application 21/01435/HOU
21/02481/HOU	Ormsfield House Church lane	Demolition and re-build of garage with storage area above.	No Objection (provided: adherence to DNP11; No blocking of Ormsfield Lane; Garage is not converted to separate dwelling in the future.	Awaiting Decision	Resubmission of Applications 20/03030/HOU and 20/03030/CON Which were Granted.

<b>Planning Reference</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Outline</b>	<b>DPC Position</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Notes</b>
21/02562/FUL	Emily's Farm Rye Common	Continued siting of temporary agricultural workers dwelling	Under Consideration	Submission due by 3 <sup>rd</sup> Nov	Temporary building first granted permission in 2012 (for 3 years). Application for extension in Nov 2017 not determined. Breach of Planning Control issued in July 2021. New Application submitted Oct 2021.
<b>Outside Dogmersfield</b>					
20/02740/FUL	Rye Common (Crandall PC)	Blue Bell Lodge - Temporary change of use of land to allow the retention of a shed, kennels and storage containers for a period of 18 months.	No comment	Awaiting Decision	No objection from Crondall PC.
21/01254/REM	Neatherhouse Copse (Grove Farm)  (Crookham Village PC)	Detailed layout of Phase 2A – 36 dwellings within the already approved number.	No comment	Granted	Technical applications to agree the site layout of the next phase of the build. No increase to the number or type of dwellings. Concerns were raised over parking on the site and access for refuse and emergency vehicles.

Planning Reference	Location	Outline	DPC Position	Status	Notes
		Detailed designs and elevations of the 36 dwellings in Phase 2A.	No comment	Granted	Conditions applied regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Surface water drainage scheme</li> <li>• Parking Management Plan</li> </ul>
	Neatherhouse Copse (Grove Farm)  ( <i>Crookham Village PC</i> )	A consultation is underway to increase the number of dwellings in Phase 3 by around 105. No expansion to the area of the site is planned.			A Planning Application is expected in due course (was due in September 2021).
21/02142/FUL	Rose Court (Rye Common)  ( <i>Crandall Village PC</i> )	The construction of 9 dwellings, associated garage access and landscaping adjacent to the NATA training facility.	No Objection	Rejected	Outside Settlement Boundary and within the Countryside (against SS1, NBE1 and DNP1).  Poor accessibility to day-to-day services.  Within 5km of TBHSPA.

DOGMERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL:

REQUEST FOR BUDGET AND AUTHORISATION FOR INCREASED PAYMENT TO MEN'S SHEDS

SUBMITTED BY COUNCILLOR ANNE FILLIS

8<sup>th</sup> November 2021

At the August 2021, Council approved a budget of £75 to cover a payment to Men's Sheds to cover incidentals required to complete the renovations of the Dogmersfield Phone Box which houses the defibrillator.

These renovations are now complete and we have received notification from Men's Sheds that the incidentals cost £83 – a slight increase due to the need to purchase gold paint. It should be noted that the cost of the materials to replace the door would have been significantly above this amount had we had to fund their purchase ourselves.

I have been asked if we would be prepared to make a small donation in addition to reimbursing the expenditure and I would like to request that Council approves a payment to Men's Sheds of £125 in total. I think that they have done an excellent job for us and would like to support them by making this small donation on top of reimbursing the incidentals.

Anne Fillis

**TREE PLANTING - DOGMERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL - PAYMENTS FOR APPROVAL - as at 1st November 2021**

Trees	Buy	From	Per Tree	Total Cost	Order	Date	Delivery	Cost ex VAT	Comments
Native Hedging	1000	Hedges Direct	£ 0.76	£ 764.99	T120797	12/10/2021	November	£ 637.49	
Crab Apple Trees	30	Ashridge Trees	£ 2.88	£ 86.40	576143	12/10/2021		£ 72.00	
Crab Apple Trees	5								
Beech (RR)	150								
Birch (RR)	300								
Beech	30	Woodland Trust	£ 1.77	£ 52.95					
Oak	30	Woodland Trust	£ 1.77	£ 52.95					
Hawthorn	60	Woodland Trust	£ 1.23	£ 73.95					
Hornbeam	45	Woodland Trust	£ 1.42	£ 63.95	254105	20/10/2021		£ 53.29	DELIVERED
Fruit and Nut Mix	8	Woodland Trust	£ 2.16	£ 25.90					
Bee Friendly Mix	8	Woodland Trust	£ 3.24	£ 25.90					
Cherry	15	Woodland Trust	£ 2.40	£ 35.95					
Rowan	30	Woodland Trust	£ 1.77	£ 52.95	254105	20/10/2021		£ 44.13	DELIVERED
Protectors	105	Woodland Trust		£ 85.00					
Stakes	60	Woodland Trust		£ 226.00	254342			£ 188.33	DELIVERED
Protectors	105	Woodland Trust		£ 85.00	254105	20/10/2021		£ 70.83	DELIVERED
	1711			£ 1,631.89				£ 1,066.08	

Total budget equals 800 trees at average cost of £5 = £4,000